

JUDGES COMMISSION

RESOLUTION JC 1

Judges Commission, SEC. 1.0 General Rules, new rule

WHEREAS the preamble on page 1 of the Judges Commission Section is more of a history than the sole list of Commission responsibilities because just like program committees, the Commission must be responsible for the development and content of forms and the development of procedures and guidelines in order to accomplish its responsibilities, and;

WHEREAS the Judges Commission is not structured like the average committee within CSHA so it would appear to be more beneficial to all to have things spelled out a little more in detail;

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 1.0 General Rules be amended as follows:

The following become 1.1 and all other items be re-numbered accordingly:

1.1 While the Judges Commission may seek input from program committees, the Commission is responsible for the development and content of all forms, pre-application criteria, junior judging and tests, as well as the guidelines and procedures necessary to accomplish its responsibilities.

1.1.1 Any desired changes to the licensing procedure guidelines, including but not limited to pre-requirement criteria or junior judging, will be reviewed at the Commission's convention meeting in open session. Any changes adopted will be announced at the Council of Members meeting.

1.1.1.1 Exception: The Commission, at its discretion, may adjust any pre-application criteria or junior judging requirements when it determines that a situation exists that adversely affects a particular discipline; e.g. insufficient existing judges in a Region or Area to allow a reasonable opportunity to complete the specified junior requirements.

1.1.2 All guidelines and procedures are to be published on the website.

Submitted by:

Carolyn Hendrickson-Life Member, Alice Lawellin-Senior Member & Paulette Webb-Life Member

Committee:	Adopted as written	___	Adopted as amended	___	Rejected	___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written	___	Adopted as amended	___	Rejected	___

RESOLUTION JC 2
Judges Commission, SEC. 1.0 General Rules, new rule

WHEREAS, the purpose of the committee is to review judges' license applications, test applicants and resolve complaints and protests filed against CSHA licensed judges, and;

WHEREAS, SEC. 1.0 General Rules

The Judges Commission Rulebook governs a person in the capacity as a CSHA judge, and;

WHEREAS, there, is no rule or process to determine the requirements for a person to become a licensed judge, and;

WHEREAS, each Program determines what Rules are needed, for their Program;

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 1.0 General Rules, be amended to add:

The Program(s), with guidance, from the Judges Commission will develop an appropriate procedure and requirements to provide a qualified pool of licensed judges for that program.

Submitted by: Laura Shultz, Family Member

Committee:	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___

RESOLUTION JC 3
Judges Commission, SEC. 1.0 General Rules, new rule

WHEREAS, horse show management is free to hire non-CSHA judges from the “Other Approved Judges List” and still receive CSHA approval for their horse shows, and;

WHEREAS, a judge or judge applicant might say “What is the CSHA license doing for me if the shows can hire other judges?” especially if that judge or applicant is already licensed with one or more of those approved associations, and;

WHEREAS, there are other major organizations who require payment of a fee to allow a judge not licensed by them to judge an approved show, and;

WHEREAS, it behooves CSHA to also provide this concept to provide an added benefit for those carrying and seeking licensing status with CSHA thus causing show management to see a CSHA judge as somewhat more “cost effective” if all other things are equal;

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 1.0 General Rules, be amended to add:

1.1.1 EXCEPTION: A horse show judge licensed in the Western or English discipline by one of the approved organizations noted in Section 7.0 Other Approved Judges, 7.2 English-Western Judging, may be hired to judge a CSHA approved show if management pays a per show “guest judge” fee of \$25.00 at the time show approval is obtained and/or the judge is confirmed to the English/Western Program.

Be It Further *Resolved* that the following be added to 7.0 Other Approved Judges

17.1.1 Payment of a per show “guest judge” fee is required. See Judges Commission 1.1.1.

Be It Further *Resolved* that that the following be added to **English/Western Section 2.0 Judging**

2.2.2 If using a judge from the “Other Approved Judges” list, show management is required to pay a \$25.00 “guest judge” fee per show judged by one of these judges to the English/Western Program .

Submitted by: The 2016 CSHA Judges Commission as reflected in the minutes of our July 16, 2016, meeting

Committee:	Adopted as written	___	Adopted as amended	___	Rejected	___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written	___	Adopted as amended	___	Rejected	___

RESOLUTION JC 4
Judges Commission, SEC. 1.0 General Rules, rules 1.2 to 1.4

WHEREAS, Section 1.0 General Rules 1.2 through 1.4 address the rulebooks that judges are required to have in their possession, and;

WHEREAS, as presently worded, the English, Western and Parade judges are required to carry the entire CSHA rulebook, and yet only the Parade program rules apply to the actual judging of a parade class and the English/Western program rules have nothing to do with the actual judging of a horse show class, and;

WHEREAS, the CSHA Gymkhana Rulebook is not a separate publication but in fact was merely a reference to the Gymkhana Program rules that were distributed to judges at a time when we often had to wait till April for the CSHA rulebook to be ready, and;

WHEREAS, pilot program judges are not even addressed, and;

WHEREAS, judges in other disciplines are required only to carry the applicable Program rules when judging and conformity is fair;

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 1.0 General Rules, rules 1.2 to 1.4 be amended as follows:

Rules 1.2 through 1.4 be deleted and replaced with the following 1.2 and all other items in Section 1.0 be re-numbered accordingly.

- 1.2 All CSHA licensed English and/or Western Judges must be in possession of a current West Coast Horse Show Rulebook while judging.
- 1.3 All CSHA licensed Parade Judges must be in possession of a current West Coast Horse Show Rulebook and a copy of the current Parade Program rules as published by CSHA.
- 1.4 All other CSHA licensed judges including pilot program judges must be in possession of the current appropriate Program rules as published by CSHA.

Submitted by: Paulette Webb, Life Member

Committee:	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___

RESOLUTION JC 5
Judges Commission, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, sub section 3.1.3

WHEREAS it has been mentioned that it is confusing to some as to when junior judging should be accomplished and clarity is desired;

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, sub section 3.1.3 be amended as follows:

3.1.3 Applicants will be required to junior judge under a currently licensed CSHA judge in the division for which the applicant has requested an application. Junior judging is to be accomplished after a license application has been submitted to the Judges Commission.

No change to 3.1.3.1 and 3.1.3.2

Respectfully submitted

Alice Lawellin-Senior Member & Paulette Webb-Life Member

Committee:	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected ___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected ___

RESOLUTION JC 6
Judges Commission, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, sub section 3.1.7 Trail Trial Senior Judge

WHEREAS presently the only instructions on junior judging are to the Trail Trials senior judge and yet it would be beneficial for all judges to have such information in order to ensure that each applicant is given a fair and equitable opportunity and for the junior judges to know what to expect

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, sub section 3.1.7 Trail Trial Senior Judge be amended as follows:

Existing 3.17 Trail Trial Senior Judge be deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following and, in addition, 1.6 be removed from Section 1.0, expanded on and included in the new 3.17.

3.1.7 Instructions for Junior Judging

3.1.7.1 A judge may be approached by an applicant in one of two capacities; i.e. to learn or to specifically fulfill requirements related to junior judging.

3.1.7.1.1 While all judges should make themselves available to judges wishing to learn, a judge may not refuse a request to junior judge. However, the judge may choose the specific event at which to work with the applicant.

3.1.7.1.1.1 Exception: No junior judging is permitted at a championship event except for Drill Team.

3.1.7.1.2 The judge will permit only one applicant to junior judge with him/her at any one event.

- 3.1.7.3 The senior judge is to ensure that contestants are made aware of the presence of the junior judge and the fact that his/her scoring will not affect placings.
- 3.1.7.4 The senior judge will not use the junior judge as a clerk, scribe, obstacle judge, timer, etc. in any fashion.
- 3.1.7.5 If the judge is to design/set and/or otherwise certify courses, whenever possible the junior judge is to perform this work under the supervision of the senior judge. When this is not possible, the senior judge is to question the junior judge in such a way as to evaluate his/her ability to perform this function.
- 3.1.7.6 The senior judge is to compare and discuss placings with the junior judge in order to evaluate his/her reasoning process and skill level and to question him/her regarding competition rules for classes that might not be offered at that particular event in order to expand his/her evaluation of the junior judge.
- 3.1.7.7 If multiple judges are used at an event, the applicant must select only one judge with him to junior judge and work the entire day with that judge; e.g. The junior judge may not work a few hours with the judge handling Trail classes and a few hours with the judge handling rail classes; The junior judge may not split his/her time between two judges at a double judged show in order to obtain 2 references, etc.
- 3.1.7.8 Instructions for Trail Trials
 - 3.1.7.8.1 Junior Judge will conduct the obstacle judge meeting on the day of the event under the supervision of the senior judge.
 - 3.1.7.8.2 Junior Judge will pre-ride the course and obstacles on horseback with the senior judge.
- 3.1.7.8 The judge will receive a confidential reference form which is to be completed and returned to the Judges Commission within 14 days of receipt. Failure to do so will be reported to the Commission by the Chairman for their review and possible disciplinary action.
 - 3.1.7.8.1 The senior judge is not to discuss his/her opinions/evaluation of the junior judge with anyone, including the applicant, with the exception of the Commission if called to do so during a meeting of the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Alice Lawellin-Senior Member & Paulette Webb- Life Member

Committee:	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___

RESOLUTION JC 7

Judges Commission, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, rule 3.3 Mandatory Symposium Attendance, part 3.3.1.1

WHEREAS, there is no longer a "September Quarterly" at which to hold the yearly Drill Team Judges Symposium, and;

WHEREAS, four of our six judging disciplines are conducting symposiums every two or three years, and;

WHEREAS, it is beneficial to have a basically fixed location for a symposium (existing rules do allow for changes of location when appropriate to the situation);

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, rule 3.3 Mandatory Symposium Attendance, part 3.3.1.1 be amended as follows:

A symposium for Drill Team judges will be held every odd year at the annual CSHA Convention beginning in 2017.

Submitted by: Paulette Webb, Life Member

Committee:	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written ___	Adopted as amended ___	Rejected___

RESOLUTION JC 8
Judges Commission, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, rule 3.3 Mandatory Symposium Attendance, part 3.3.4

WHEREAS, judges are often licensed in more than one discipline, and;

WHEREAS, it is conceivable that a judge might face a year where attendance is required at more than one judging symposium or, while timing might allow attendance at multiple symposiums, the adjusted location of a symposium makes it impractical to attend multiple symposiums on the same weekend;

Therefore Be It *Resolved* that JUDGES COMMISSION, SEC. 3.0 License Requirements, rule 3.3 Mandatory Symposium Attendance, part 3.3.4 be amended as follows:

Rules 3.3.4 through 3.3.4.3 be renumbered to 3.3.5 through 3.3.5.3

Rules 3.3.5 through 3.3.5.5 be renumbered to 3.3.6 through 3.3.6.5

Add new:

3.3.4 If a judge is licensed in more than one discipline by CSHA and two or more of those disciplines has scheduled a symposium on the same weekend which realistically prohibits the judge from attending all required symposiums, the judge affected will attend one of the CSHA symposiums and follow the procedure outlined in 3.3.4 for other(s).

3.3.4.1 If an outside symposium is not reasonably available, the judge may apply to the Judges Commission for permission to attend one symposium and be excused from the other(s). Based on the judge's history and experience level, the Judges Commission may stipulate which symposium the judge must attend.

Submitted by: Paulette Webb, Life Member

Committee:	Adopted as written	___	Adopted as amended	___	Rejected	___
Council of Members :	Adopted as written	___	Adopted as amended	___	Rejected	___
